

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3.1

PROVIDED  
TO THE PUBLIC  
BY THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES




FIG. 3.2




FIG. 3.3

10092757 .060302  
10092757 .060302  
10092757 .060302




FIG. 3.4




FIG. 3.5

114 {

**Site ID:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** 1/13/02

**Rotation ID:** 1195 **Student ID:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Prescribed Drug:** Lipitor } 128

**Disease:** Hyperlipidemia } 130

**Prescriber:** Smith } 132

FIG. 3.6






FIG. 3.7




FIG. 3.8




FIG. 3.9




FIG. 3.10

10082757.060302  
10082757.060302  
10082757.060302




FIG. 3.11

10082757.060302

146

**Problem Type**

[Please select one]

Actual

Potential

147

Back Next

[Please select one]
Actual
Potential

FIG. 3.12




FIG. 3.13

10002757.000302  
10002757.000302  
10002757.000302

150

**Activity**

[Please select one]

Prescriber Contacted By Phone

Prescriber Contacted By Fax

Patient Consultation

Payer/Processor Contacted

Referred Patient

Patient Monitoring Initiated

Other Intervention

151

Back Next

Detailed description: This is a screenshot of a user interface. At the top, there is a black horizontal bar with the word 'Activity' in white text. Below this bar is a list box. The list box has a black header with the text '[Please select one]'. Below the header, there are seven text options: 'Prescriber Contacted By Phone', 'Prescriber Contacted By Fax', 'Patient Consultation', 'Payer/Processor Contacted', 'Referred Patient', 'Patient Monitoring Initiated', and 'Other Intervention'. The first option, 'Prescriber Contacted By Phone', is highlighted with a black background. To the right of the list box, there is a large right-facing curly bracket that spans the height of the list box, with the number '151' to its right. Below the list box, there are two rounded rectangular buttons. The left button is labeled 'Back' and the right button is labeled 'Next'. Above the 'Activity' bar, there is a label '150' with a line pointing to the bar. The entire interface is set against a white background.

FIG. 3.14






FIG. 3.15

10082757 .000302  
10082757 .000302




FIG. 3.16

FIG. 3.16  
10082757.000302  
10082757.000302

156

**Patient Information**

**Patient ID:** JS .....

158 **Allergies:**

Penicillins ☐

**Medication History:**

Glucophage ☐

**Family History:**

Hyperlipidemia ☐

**Social History:**

Tobacco ☐

157

FIG. 3.17

10082757.060302




FIG. 3.18

162

**Lab Values**

Total Cholesterol 285 mg/dl

163

164

Add Values Back Next

The image shows a digital form titled "Lab Values" (162). Below the title is a header "Total Cholesterol 285 mg/dl". This is followed by a list of approximately 15 horizontal dotted lines for data entry. To the right of this list is a vertical double-headed arrow (163) spanning the height of the list. Below the dotted lines are three rounded rectangular buttons: "Add Values" (164), "Back", and "Next".

FIG. 3.19

10082757.060302




FIG. 3.20

FIG. 3.20  
10002757.060302  
10002757.060302

**SORP Notes**

176	{	<b>Subjective:</b>	.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	{	175
177	{	<b>Objective:</b>	.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		
178	{	<b>Assessment:</b>	.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		
179	{	<b>Plan:</b>	.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		
180	{	<b>Follow Up:</b>	.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		

FIG. 3.21




FIG. 3.22






FIG. 3.23.1

188

Search
◀▶ 1 of 1

**Pat ID:** 01      **Date:** 2/20/02

**DRP:** Wrong Dose

**Rx:** New Rx    **Risk:** Moderate

**Prob:** Potential    **Drug:** Zantac

**Rec:** Change Dose

**Act:** Prescriber Contacted By

**Res:** Recommendation Accepted

**Time:** 6 - 15 minutes    **SCORE:** 15

A <input type="checkbox"/>	M <input type="checkbox"/>	F <input type="checkbox"/>	S <input type="checkbox"/>
S <input type="checkbox"/>	O <input type="checkbox"/>	A <input type="checkbox"/>	P <input type="checkbox"/>
F/U <input type="checkbox"/>			

(Edit)
(Del)
(Exit)

186

190

176

177

178

179

157

FIG.3.23.2




FIG. 3.24




FIG. 3.25

Downloaded from  
www.asapbio.com  
on 04/11/2019

204

**DSW - Asthma: Age**

206 { [Please select one]  
0 - 5 years  
205 { > 5 years

FIG. 3.26

200 100 50 0  
200 100 50 0  
200 100 50 0




FIG. 4.1




FIG. 4.2




FIG. 5.1






FIG. 5.2




FIG. 6

310                      304                      320

1. PLEASE USE THE SCALE BELOW TO RATE THE FOLLOWING:

8	ACTION - ACTING ON THE CHOICE	}	322
7	JUDGMENT / CHOICE - MAKING A JUDGMENT ACROSS A NORMATIVE STANDARD AND COMPARING ALTERNATIVES AND EMPLOYING DECISION RULES TO MAKE A SELECTION		
6	INFORMATION SEARCH - SEEKING PROPERTIES AND ATTRIBUTES OF THE ALTERNATIVES THAT WILL IMPROVE CERTAINTY AND DECREASE RISK		
5	ALTERNATIVE GENERATION - LIMITING THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE ANSWERS BY SELECTING A FEW FROM THE POPULATION OF POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS		
4	FORMULATION - EXPORING AND CLASSIFYING THE DECISION SITUATION, SEEKING TO UNDERSTAND RELATIONSHIPS AMONG VARIABLES, AND INITIATING A PLAN TO ACHIEVE THE PREFERRED OUTCOME		
3	RECOGNITION - RECOGNITION OF SITUATIONS		
2	FEEDBACK - TO FACILITATE RECOGNITION		
1	CLARIFICATION - NOT A TRUE STEP IN "DECISION-MAKING"		

**WHAT LEVEL OF COGNITIVE ABILITY IS REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY THE FOLLOWING:**

UNNECESSARY DRUG THERAPY		}	138
3	NO MEDICAL INDICATION		
3	ADDICTION / RECREATIONAL DRUG USE		
3	NONDRUG THERAPY MORE APPROPRIATE		
4	DUPLICATE THERAPY		
5	TREATING AVOIDABLE ADVERSE REACTION		
WRONG DRUG			
3	DOSAGE FORM INAPPROPRIATE	}	140
3	CONTRAINDICATION PRESENT		
3	CONDITION REFRACTORY TO DRUG		
3	DRUG NOT INDICATED FOR CONDITION		
4	MORE EFFECTIVE DRUG AVAILABLE		
DOSAGE TOO LOW			
3	WRONG DOSE	}	144
3	FREQUENCY INAPPROPRIATE		
3	DURATION INAPPROPRIATE		
3	INCORRECT STORAGE		
3	INCORRECT ADMINISTRATION		
3	DRUG INTERACTION		
ADVERSE DRUG REACTION			
3	UNSAFE DRUG FOR PATIENT	}	134
3	INCORRECT ADMINISTRATION		
3	DRUG INTERACTION		
3	DOSE INCREASE / DECREASE TOO FAST		
3	UNDESIRABLE EFFECT		
DOSAGE TOO HIGH			
3	WRONG DOSE		
3	FREQUENCY INAPPROPRIATE		

Pg.1

FIG. 7.1

320

- 3 DURATION INAPPROPRIATE  
4 DRUG INTERACTION  
 INAPPROPRIATE COMPLIANCE  
3 DRUG PRODUCT NOT AVAILABLE  
3 CANNOT AFFORD DRUG PRODUCT  
3 DOES NOT UNDERSTAND INSTRUCTIONS  
3 PATIENT DOSES HIGHER THAN PRESCRIBED  
3 PATIENT DOSES LOWER THAN PRESCRIBED  
3 PROBLEM WITH THIRD PARTY PAYER  
 NEED DRUG THERAPY  
3 UNTREATED INDICATION  
3 PROPHYLACTIC THERAPY  
 PRESCRIPTION TYPE  
 — NEW RX  
 — REFILL RX

143

2. WHICH WOULD YOU CONSIDER TO BE A MORE SIGNIFICANT INTERACTION?

- PROBLEM TYPE  
2 ACTUAL  
1 POTENTIAL

147

312

3. PLEASE USE THE SCALE BELOW TO RATE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS FOR THE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM:

- 4 = PRESCRIPTIVE  
 3 = CONSULTATIVE  
 2 = CORRECTIVE  
 1 = SUBMISSIVE

306

- INTERVENTION RECOMMENDATION  
3 DISCONTINUE THERAPY  
3 CHANGE MEDICATION  
3 ADD MEDICATION  
3 CHANGE DOSE  
3 CHANGE DOSAGE FORM  
3 CHANGE REGIMEN  
3 PATIENT EDUCATION / INSTRUCTION  
1 REFER PATIENT  
1 CONTINUE UNCHANGED  
3 DISEASE STATE MANAGEMENT  
2 NON-DRUG THERAPY  
1 OTHER RECOMMENDATION

149

- INTERVENTION ACTIVITY  
3 PRESCRIBER CONTACTED BY PHONE  
3 PRESCRIBER CONTACTED BY FAX

151

Pg. 2

FIG. 7.2

- 3 PATIENT CONSULTATION
- 2 PAYER / PROCESSOR CONTACTED
- 1 REFERRED PATIENT
- 4 PATIENT MONITORING INITIATED
- 1 OTHER INTERVENTION

## INTERVENTION RESULT

- 3 RECOMMENDATION NOT ACCEPTED
- 1 OTHER CHANGE MADE
- 1 OTHER RESULT

153

## 4. SOAP NOTE

- \_\_\_ SUBJECTIVE
- \_\_\_ OBJECTIVE
- \_\_\_ ASSESSMENT
- \_\_\_ PLAN
- \_\_\_ FOLLOW-UP

175

## 5. LAB VALUES

PLEASE PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT LAB VALUES SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE DOI SCORE. DOES HAVING LAB VALUES PRESENT IN THE INTERVENTION INDICATE THE STUDENT HAS GONE TO MORE EFFORT OR PUT MORE THOUGHT INTO THE INTERVENTION? SUGGEST A POSSIBLE RANKING (E.G. ONE POINT FOR EACH VALUE PRESENT UP TO THREE POINTS).

IF SO, HOW? (ONE POINT FOR EACH LAB VALUE ENTERED? UP TO A LIMIT?) ONE POINT IS GIVEN FOR EACH LAB VALUE ENTERED UP TO THREE TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS SECTION.

## 6. SOAP NOTES

PLEASE PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SOAP SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE DOI SCORE.

IF SO, HOW?

NO POINTS ARE CURRENTLY GIVEN FOR SOAP NOTES.

## 7. PATIENT INFORMATION

PLEASE PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE INCLUSION OF PATIENT INFORMATION SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE DOI SCORE.

IS SO, HOW?

NO POINTS ARE CURRENTLY GIVEN FOR THE INCLUSION OF PATIENT INFORMATION.

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE SECTIONS BE WEIGHTED HIGHER THAN OTHERS? SECTIONS ARE ALL EQUALLY WEIGHTED.